

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Aloxandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/826,715 | 04/05/2001 | Chang-Qing Shu | 00-4023 | 3951 |
| 32127 7: | 590 12/28/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC. | | | ABEBE, DANIEL DEMELASH | |
| | AN R. ANDERSEN RIDGE DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MAILCODE HQEO3H14 | | | 2655 | |
| IRVING, TX 75038 | | | DATE MAIL ED. 12/29/2004 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/826,715 | SHU ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Daniel D Abebe | 2655 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133), | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9,10,12-15,17-20 and 22-32</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20 and 22-29</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,9,10,12-15,17-19 and 30-32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| O/C Claim(3) are subject to restriction under discion requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/826,715

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-6, 9-10, 12-15 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fanty et al. (6,535,851).

As to claims 1, 4 and 15, Fanty teaches a method for segmenting speech for speech recognition purpose "where difference in cepstral coefficient is used to detect boundaries in frames" comprising the steps of:

Receiving utterance data in form of frames (102 and 404);

Determining cepstral coefficients in the speech frames and weighting the cepstral values (Col.4, lines 63-65; Col.5, lines 32-35; 406);

Determining peaks in the cepstral coefficients (408; Col.5, lines 42-45);

Segmenting the frames according to the number of peaks (410; Col.5, lines 47-48); and

Recognize the speech (Fig.5)

Claims 5, 6, 9 and 30 are analogous to claims 1 and 2 and are rejected by Fanty for the foregoing reasons.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,715

Art Unit: 2655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-19 and 31-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fanty et al.

With respect to claims 17-19 and 31-32, Fanty teaches determining phonetic units by identifying peaks in the ceptsral coefficients (Col.19, lines 13-16). Fanty doesn't teach using HMM model. however, Official Notice is taken that HMM models are well known in speech recognition system and commonly used to identify phonemes that mach the input utterance.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20, 22-29 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Fanty doesn't teach recognizing patterns using a weighting parameter obtained based on second number of peals as recited in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

Application/Control Number: 09/826,715

Art Unit: 2655

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D Abebe whose telephone number is 703-308-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2655

Daniel Abebe Primary Examiner A.U. 2655

12/23/2004 AM